





<p>The number of local resident representatives (as opposed to councillors) was questioned, but it was noted that a number were unable to make the first meeting and that several parish councillors were also local residents. It was agreed that the second round of exhibitions should include an invitation to become a reserve member.</p> <p>It was also agreed that the title councillor would be removed from parish councillors to avoid confusion.</p> <p>Several organisational points were made and accepted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meetings will be held monthly unless agreed</li> <li>• The venue should remain Great Blakenham Village Hall meeting room</li> <li>• Minutes will be circulated by email to members with hard copies following</li> <li>• Agendas and minutes will be put on the project website for public information</li> <li>• Minutes can be circulated to parish councils (Great Blakenham, Little Blakenham, Bramford, Claydon, Barham)</li> <li>• Minutes to be sent to Chris Fry, waste officer at Mid-Suffolk Council</li> </ul>	<p>PPS Group – COMPLETE. RESULTS TO BE CONFIRMED</p> <p>PPS Group - COMPLETE</p> <p>PPS Group - COMPLETE</p> <p>SITA UK - ONGOING</p> <p>PPS Group - COMPLETE</p> <p>PPS Group - COMPLETE</p>
<p><b>3. Election of Chair / Deputy Chair</b></p>	
<p>RE explained the role of chair, the responsibility to remain impartial and the three options open to the group:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. PPS to continue to chair</li> <li>b. Environment Agency or equivalent to chair</li> <li>c. Group elects a chair from amongst members</li> </ol> <p>JF was nominated as a potential chairman, but felt unable to take the post as he would not be able to express his views adequately.</p> <p>The group felt strongly that an independent chair was needed and agreed that approaches should be made to the following groups in order:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The Environment Agency (EA)</li> <li>b. The Greenest County Board</li> <li>c. Headteachers of local schools</li> <li>d. Suffolk Wildlife Trust</li> </ol> <p>It was noted that the EA and the Wildlife Trust are statutory consultees and may feel that they would not be able to play a role as it would prejudice their position prior to the planning committee.</p>	<p>PPS Group –ONGOING</p>
<p><b>4. Project update</b></p>	
<p>JD gave a short introduction to SITA UK and the project, including the project timetable</p> <p>Questions were raised about:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Air quality monitoring, and the credentials of the company carrying out this role</li> <li>• Which contractors, primary and secondary, had already been appointed</li> </ul>	



<p>MW noted that in her professional capacity for Jacobs she had conducted a survey of the former Highways Depot site and found considerable amounts of asbestos and wanted to ensure that demolition was conducted to the correct safety standards.</p> <p>JS noted that a business open day was going to be held to allow local businesses to become part of the supply chain. The event is likely to be held in January / February 2011.</p> <p>The contract between SITA UK and SCC was discussed: BG agreed to provide a website link to the contract to the group. The contract deals with risk management and sharing between the partners.</p> <p>The planning regime was discussed. BG outlined the application process. It was agreed that a SCC planning officer would be invited to the group to discuss the process in detail at a later stage. There was concern that there is a conflict of interest within SCC as the appointing body and the permitting authority. However, it was noted that a number of municipal facilities up and down the country have not received planning permission from the appointing authority.</p> <p>It was also noted that the facility would also need a permit to operate from the Environment Agency. This separate permit comes from an independent body.</p>	<p>BG – COMPLETE (SEE SEPARATE DOCUMENT)</p> <p>BG – NO IMMEDIATE ACTION</p>
<p>5. Proposed site visits</p>	
<p>a. The group agreed that a visit to an energy-from-waste facility would be useful to gain an understanding of the operation, noise and scale. A number of different plants to visit were discussed. Two facilities to be investigated for visits are: Lakeside &amp; Isle of Man</p> <p>b. A visit to the former Highways Depot site was also suggested. It was agreed that if possible the visit would take place following the Sunday public exhibition at 4pm.</p>	<p>SITA UK / PPS Group to investigate availability</p> <p>COMPLETE – Sunday 26 September 2010</p>
<p>6. Agenda and date of future meetings</p>	
<p>The group was asked which topics they would like to discuss at future meetings.</p> <p>Initially five topics were requested:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Transport</li> <li>b. Air Quality</li> <li>c. Design / Visual Impact</li> <li>d. Different technologies</li> <li>e. Planning</li> </ul> <p>SITA UK to arrange for suitable experts. It was explained that experts could also return for follow up meetings as required.</p> <p>MW made a request for Air Quality data from other SITA UK plants. JD agreed to send a link for inclusion in the minutes.</p>	<p>SITA UK - ONGOING</p> <p>JD</p>



The group agreed the date of the next meeting: Monday 25 <sup>th</sup> October at 6.30pm	
---	--

*22 September 2010*